Despite Earth Day, climate change is not caused by man

April 28, 2016 Randy Bright

There is an old saying that if you tell a lie long enough, people will believe you, and you will begin to believe it yourself.

Earth Day is no exception. It seems that those who have the political agenda of wealth distribution and political control simply won't give up the idea that climate change is caused by mankind, even though it has been soundly disproven.

The emotions that have become so invested in this issue have become severe. I have never received the kind of response that I received several years ago when I wrote a series of articles debunking global warming, drawing attention to an article that had been published in an architectural journal promoting the idea of man-made climate change.

The majority of those who responded were architects who either agreed with me outright or at least were skeptical of man-made climate change. I did receive one hate e-mail suggesting that if I did not believe that our cars were killing the planet, I should park mine in closed garage and see for myself. Hardly a scientific means for testing the theory, and short of actually threatening me, it was an obvious statement that he wished I were dead.

Bill Nye, "the science guy" has stated that he is "openly favorable to the idea of jailing 'global warming' skeptics at the Hague as "war criminals" and Robert F. Kennedy, Jr., said that "I wish there were a law you could punish them (climate change deniers) with." With such gullibility, it's not hard to understand how people become so afraid that they are willing to jettison scientific reason, evidence and constitutional rights for self-preservation.

But some proponents do know better. For some, it's for financial gain and for others it's for political power. Does anyone really believe that Al Gore isn't in it for the money or that Obama isn't in it to promote socialism?

John Coleman, a famous meteorologist, has said for many years that climate change is not caused by human activity.

Writing about Earth Day 2016 and the Paris climate agreement, Coleman said, "On this Earth Day 2016, there is a great deal of frenzy about how our Earth is going to become uninhabitable, as the civilized activities of man allegedly trigger unstoppable global warming and climate change. With the Obama administration set to commit the United States to the Paris climate agreement by signing our nation onto the document on Friday (April 22), it is obvious that science has taken a back seat at the United Nations. The environmentalists, bureaucrats and politicians who make up the United Nation's climate panel recruit scientists to research the climate issue. And they place only those who will produce the desired results. Money, politics and ideology have replaced science."

In a Washington Times article entitled "Paris climate accord creates turbulence for Obama administration," author Valerie Richardson wrote of the Paris Agreement, "Forged in December in a suburb of Paris, the agreement is seen as a cornerstone of Mr. Obama's environmental legacy even though free market and climate change advocates have expressed serious concerns about its necessity and viability." I take that to mean that even though the climate change advocates are polar opposites of free market advocates, neither one of them see the agreement in a positive light.

She continued, "While crediting the president for leading on the climate issue, environmentalists have been less than effusive in their praise for the accord, framing the deal as more of a good start than a game changer in reducing carbon dioxide emissions and staving off what they see as a looming global disaster."

The net effect of it all is that subsequent regulations based on the lie of man-made climate change will continue to restrict trade, discourage innovation of new technologies, and restrict constitutional freedoms far more than they already have. Even the head of the EPA administration, Gina McCarthy, agreed with Senator Bill Cassidy's contention that more regulations cause higher energy costs.

The result of these regulations will not only cost jobs, but increase poverty. For example, Peabody Energy, the largest coal company in America, recently followed the example of several other large coal companies when it filed for bankruptcy as a result of environmental laws. As an article in Breitbart stated, "The coal industry's political enemies have successfully used expensive, heavy-handed, junk science-fueled regulation which, in combination with an unforeseeable coincidental glut of cheap natural gas, has virtually broken the coal industry's back."

None of this should ever happen in America, which is why we should choose wisely in the next elections. We may not get the chance to set America on an even keel again.



Randy W. Bright, AIA, NCARB, is an architect who specializes in church and church-related projects. You may contact him at 918-582-3972, rwbrightchurcharch@sbcglobal.net or www.churcharchitect.net.