

OK GOP Implementing “ObamaCare”

OK-SAFE, INC., IN CONJUNCTION WITH OK GRASSROOTS
ORGANIZATIONS

www.ok-safe.com

What Oklahomans Believed:

- *The Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (PPACA), passed 3/23/10, and is generally known as “ObamaCare”*
- *Oklahomans overwhelmingly objected to the implementation of “ObamaCare” in its’ entirety; this included the development of exchanges*
- *The citizens of Oklahoma believed the lawsuit against “ObamaCare” was a real reflection of the intent of Oklahoma’s leadership and that OK would not adopt this unconstitutional federal law*
- *SQ 756 addressed only one component of the PPACA—the individual mandate. Voters believed they were rejecting the entire PPACA.*
- *The voters have been duped.*

The 2 Exchanges Timeline:

Gov. Brad Henry Executive Order 2008-4 2008

HB 2026 by Crain / Steele—Created HUB 2009

SB 757 by Burrage / Steele—Created HIIAB, defined electronic medical records, (EMRs) health information exchange, (HIE) health information technology (HIT) 2009

SB 1373 by Crain / Johnson—Created OHIET 2010

HB2130 by Steele / Jolley—Establishes HUB governance over exchange implementation 2011

SB 411 by Brown / Moore—establishes comprehensive health care website 2011

Exchanges—Cornerstone of “ObamaCare”

The PPACA

The Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (PPACA), written *before* the last presidential election, has been condemned by the public as the complete government take over of the U.S. health care system and is ‘socialized’ medicine. The PPACA (Public Law 111-148) is over 900 pages long; one small part of this monstrous law is the “individual mandate” (recently found to be unconstitutional). Unfortunately, this one section of the law is where the public’s attention has been *intentionally* focused. (I.E., SQ 756, the lawsuit, and on the campaign trail.)

Not mentioned nearly enough have been the exchanges.

The Cornerstone

Mentioned 278 times in the PPACA, the exchanges are the defining component in planning and implementing “ObamaCare” - without the exchanges there is no “ObamaCare”. (There are 3 exchanges: the Health Information Exchange and the Health Insurance Exchange, both tied to the Health Benefit Exchange.) Navigators are key, too.

States are following a Robert Wood Johnson Foundation implementation timeline entitled *Major Exchange Timeline Tasks from “Health Benefit Exchanges: An Implementation Timeline for State Policymakers. (Another implementation timeline is offered via the NCSL).*



Sections of PPACA dealing with Insurance Exchanges:

1. Section 1301: “Qualified health plan”; Sect. 1302: Essential Health Benefits Requirement; Sect. 1303: Special Rules; Sect: 1304: Related Definitions
2. Sect: 1311—Planning/activities related to establishing an Exchange
3. Sections 1312, 1313, 1321, 1322, 1324, 1411, 1412, 1413
4. Sect. 1561—The IT infrastructure necessary to make it all work

Vote NO on HB2130—Call your Senators

HB 2130 by Steele / Jolley, is a key piece of enabling legislation dealing with the HUB board governance and members; the HUB is overseeing the implementation of the Health Insurance Exchange in OK.

HB 2130 strikes the Insurance Commissioner (elected) and places the (appointed) Secretary of Health and Human Services as Executive Director of the HUB. (Fallin appointee Dr. Terry Cline).

Oklahoma recently applied for and received a \$54 M *Early Innovator*

“ObamaCare” grant. This grant application, officially called the Co-operative Agreements to Support Innovative Exchange Information Technology Systems, is a 41-page document outlining the purpose, authority, and background of the funding “opportunity,” as well as eligibility requirements states must meet in order to qualify.

The Oklahoma Health Care Authority is the grantee. The grantee has agreed to all the grant (contract) stipulations, including adhering to Sections 1311 and 1561 of the

PPACA. These sections bind the state to the federal government in the development of the OK exchange.

The legislators, sticking to leadership talking points only, *have not read the 41-page binding grant nor the state’s response* - yet claim to be informed decision-makers. This is not possible.

Until everyone fully understands the consequences to establishing an OK exchange, the only correct vote on HB 2130 is NO!

**OK GOP
Implementing
“ObamaCare”**

Didn't they campaign against this?



OK House Votes on HB 2130

Thursday, March 17, 2011

The 51 Yes Votes (*italics=Democrat, bold=Tulsa county*):

Armes, Banz, Billy, *Cannaday*, Casey, Cockroft, Coody, Cooksey, Denney, DeWitt, Farley, Grau, Hall, Hardin, Hickman, Jackson, Johnson, **Jordan**, Joyner, Liebmann, Martin (Sc.), Martin (St.), *McAffrey*, **McCullough**, **McDaniel (J.)**, **McNiel**, **Mulready**, Nelson, Newell, **Nollan**, Ortega, Ownbey, **Peters**, **Peterson**, Pruett, Quinn, Richardson, Roberts (D.), Russ, Sanders, **Scott**, Sears, Shannon, Stiles, **Sullivan**, Thomsen, **Tibbs**, *Virgin*, **Watson**, Wright, and Mr. Speaker (Steele).

The 34 No Votes (*italics=Democrat, bold=Tulsa County*):

Bennett, Blackwell, **Brumbaugh**, Christian, *Condit*, **Derby**, *Dorman*, Faught, *Fourkiller*, *Glenn*, *Hamilton*, *Hilliard*, Holland, *Hoskin*, *Inman*, Kern, Key, **Kirby**, *Kouplen*, *Lockhart*, *McDaniel (R.)*, Moore, *Morrisette*, Murrey, **Proctor**, Reynolds, Ritze, *Rousselot*, Schwartz, *Sherrer*, Terrill, *V a u g h a n*, *W e s s e l h o f t*, *W i l l i a m s*.

The Excused Votes (*italics=Democrat; bold=Tulsa County*):

Brown, *Cox*, *Dank*, *Enns*, *McPeak*, *Morgan*, *Osborn*, *Pittman*, *Renegar*, *Roan*, **Roberts (S.)**, *Shelton*, *Shoemake*, **Shumate**, **Trebilcock**, *Walker*.
(That's a lot of people off the floor for a vote.)